
From: Forrestel, Alison <alison_forrestel@nps.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2019 3:43 PM
To: Savidge, Michael
Subject: Re: Maps for Redwood creek(Muir Beach to Banducci) and Funston [NPS Internal Deliberative-Not for Release]]

I had emailed Stephen about the buffer distance directly and about adding the (b) (5) 54 U.S.C. § 100707. You'll see the updated version with the 40' buffer looks much better.

Alison Forrestel, Ph.D. | *she/her*
Chief of Natural Resource Management and Science
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
Fort Cronkhite Building 1062
Sausalito, CA 94965
415-289-1837 office
415-537-0470 cell
alison_forrestel@nps.gov

On Fri, May 17, 2019 at 9:22 AM Savidge, Michael <michael_j_savidge@nps.gov> wrote:
Alison,

1. Dogs have only been allowed on beach proper under voice control and on trails on-leash. This was in 2017 compendium language as well as in existing condition maps in FEIS. So, dogs are not permitted in any of the floodplain or riparian areas around creek. Laura had only been referring to limiting public closure to riparian area on either side of creek and connection to ocean.
2. Did we measure the buffer from 2017 compendium or talk to Darren about width on either side and RLF habitat? What I am seeing from Stephen is using 20 ft from centerline of creek to either side as buffer. Is that protective enough, and is there a separate area for RLF or does that cover them as well, Alison?

Mike

Mike

Mike

On Thu, May 16, 2019 at 9:35 PM Forrestel, Alison <alison_forrestel@nps.gov> wrote:

My understanding from our meeting with Laura earlier this week is that the Redwood Creek dog closure map would show the same buffer along the creek as the general Redwood Creek closure map, but maybe I misunderstood?

Thanks,
Alison



[Compendium DRAFT 3 pages edits 05 16 2019.pdf](#)

Alison Forrestel, Ph.D. | *she/her*
Chief of Natural Resource Management and Science
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
Fort Cronkhite Building 1062
Sausalito, CA 94965
415-289-1837 office
415-537-0470 cell
alison_forrestel@nps.gov

On Thu, May 16, 2019 at 6:34 PM Skartvedt, Stephen <stephen_skartvedt@nps.gov> wrote:
All -

Attached are edits showing uniform buffer around Redwood creek channel downstream of Pacific Way Bridge. I'm assuming 20 feet from centerline of creek would put closure around 10 feet from top of bank.

It came up that Exhibit Map #1 (people closure area around Redwood Creek) and Exhibit Map #24 (dog closure around Redwood Creek), may need to be reconciled in some way. Map #24 also attached so the two maps can be compared.

Finally, Ft Funston edits to show assigned lands for SFUSD program.

Stephen

On Thu, May 16, 2019 at 11:45 AM Forrestel, Alison <alison_forrestel@nps.gov> wrote:
Hi Craig/Stephen -

I did talk with Darren about the Redwood Creek buffer distance and he felt that the way the closure is depicted in the 2017 Compendium is what he would like to see going forward. Can one of you measure the buffer distance around Redwood Creek in that 2017 polygon and let us know what that distance is and try to standardize the buffer distance throughout the polygon? I'm happy to talk it through if this doesn't make sense. Also Darren requested that we drop the creek closure upstream of the Pacific Way Bridge in the Banducci property.

Thanks,
Alison

Alison Forrestel, Ph.D. | *she/her*
Chief of Natural Resource Management and Science
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
Fort Cronkhite Building 1062
Sausalito, CA 94965
415-289-1837 office
415-537-0470 cell
alison_forrestel@nps.gov

On Thu, May 16, 2019 at 11:39 AM Savidge, Michael <michael_j_savidge@nps.gov> wrote:
Craig, Stephen, Alison,

After our briefing of Laura, there were 2 maps that needed final alterations (the other compendium maps are still under review as to whether they represent final compendium changes):

1. Muir Beach (including extant to Banducci like 2017 Compendium): Laura agreed with Alison coming up with riparian buffer on either side of creek on map up to our land boundary from connection with ocean as "closed to public." **The width of that buffer was discussed at about 10 ft but left up to Alison to come up with whatever made sense (ie. does not have to be limited to 10 ft if some other width (20 ft ?) makes more sense, just not closing off whole potential floodplain now. [Alison-can you provide that final width to Craig and Stephen after discussing with Darren et al]?**
Dogs, though, would still be prohibited from all riparian and floodplain areas in Redwood creek (ie. only allowed on trails on-leash and beach proper under voice and sight control (the latter exception is when that cross-connection with ocean is present).
2. Funston-Laura agreed to close southern parking lot and area around SFUSD allocated areas, nursery and developed area closed to dogs thru mash up of option 2 and 3. So, this should be represented in both full Funston map exhibit as well as inset of that area itself. I am including hand drawn mash up here below.

thanks,
Mike

PS. Please let me know if you have other related questions.

--
Stephen Skartvedt, GIS Specialist
National Park Service
Golden Gate National Recreation Area
(415) 289-1845